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# Use of absorbance ratios in densitometric measurements for the characterization and identification of natural products of pharmacological interest 
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Developments in densitometric measurement techniques have greatly enhanced their value in the analysis of natural products such as alkaloids ${ }^{1,2}$, coumarins ${ }^{3,4}$, saponins ${ }^{5}$ and iridoids ${ }^{5}$. The improvements in detector systems (e.g., multiwavelength ultraviolet detectors) allow the unequivocal identification of various components.

This paper adresses the feasibility of the rapid and reliable identification of some common natural products by their characteristic $R_{F}$ values, absorbance ratios and constants obtained by the use of a densitometer.

## EXPERIMENTAL

## Apparatus

A Shimadzu (Kyoto, Japan) CS-930 thin-layer chromatographic (TLC) scanning photodensitometer of high sensitivity and precision was used. Precoated silica gel G glass TLC plates were obtained from E. Merck (Darmstadt, F.R.G.).

## Materials

Pharmaceutical-grade compounds (Table I) were checked according to different Pharmacopoeias ${ }^{5-10}$. Solutions in methanol or chloroform ( $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) were prepared and were stable at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for at least 2 months.

The following developing systems were used: ethyl acetate-methanol-water (100:13.5:10), toluene-ethyl acetate (93:7), chloroform-diethylamine (90:10), ethanol-acetic acid-water ( $60: 30: 10$ ), methanol-ammonia solution (100:1.5), ethyl acetate-formic acid-acetic acid-water (100:11:11:27) and toluene-ethyl acetatediethylamine (70:20:10).
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## Procedure

Compounds representing different chemical classes were selected. Sample solutions contained $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ of solute in methanol or chloroform. The sample size varried from 0.5 to $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$, depending on the compound.

The sample solutions were spotted side-by-side on the origin. The plates were developed for a distance of 15 cm using the developing systems listed in Table III. The absorbances of all compounds were recorded at 220 and 254 nm and the absorbance ratios ( $K_{1}$ ) were calculated. The compounds may be identified primarily by their $K_{1}$ values (Table I), then from measurements at the wavelength of maximum absorption for each compound the $K_{2}$ ratio were determined (Table II) to confirm the compound identities. Each compound was chromatographed as six separate spots on one plate in order to verify the reproducibility and precision.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Traditionally, the identification and quantification of a solute separated by TLC and monitored with a UV detector at a single wavelength are based on the $R_{F}$ values ${ }^{11}$. However, if a solute is monitored at several wavelengths, the absorbances can be very different and reflect the UV-absorbing characteristics of the compound. Table III illustrates the results obtained by such a procedure after chromatographic development.

By selecting one of the wavelengths as a reference, absorbance ratios can be calculated. For colchicine, for instance 220 nm is selected as a reference wavelength. The calculated absorbance ratios will be the areas under the peaks at 254 and 220 nm $\left(K_{1}\right)$ and 243 and $220 \mathrm{~nm}\left(K_{2}\right)$.

TABLE I
DATA OBTAINED BY CALCULATION FROM DENSITOMETRIC MEASUREMENT AND ARRANGED IN INCREASING ORDER OF ( $K_{1}$ ) VALUES
$K_{1}=$ absorbance ratio, $254 / 220 \mathrm{~nm}$ (areas under the peaks).

| Compound | $K_{1}$ | Compound | $K_{1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pilocarpine | 0.028 | Theobromine | 1.32 |
| Cinchonine | 0.11 | Theophylline | 1.35 |
| Cinchonidine | 0.13 | Harmaline | 1.58 |
| Yohimbine | 0.30 | Caffeic acid | 1.60 |
| Quinine | 0.35 | Quercetin | 1.78 |
| Eugenol | 0.35 | Berberine | 1.81 |
| Emetine | 0.36 | Psoralin | 1.85 |
| Codeine | 0.37 | Colchicine | 1.95 |
| Quinidine | 0.38 | Chlorogenic acid | 1.95 |
| Morphine | 0.49 | Anisaldehyde | 2.41 |
| Thymol | 0.66 | Papaverine | 2.61 |
| Heroin | 0.71 | Strychnine | 2.93 |
| Caffeine | 0.99 | Eserine | 3.30 |
| Methyl salicylate | 0.99 | Harmine | 3.67 |
| Brucine | 1.01 | $\alpha$-Santonin | 4.94 |
| Vanillin | 1.16 |  |  |

TABLE II
DATA OBTAINED BY CALCULATION FROM DENSITOMETRIC MEASUREMENTS AND ARRANGED IN INCREASING ORDER OF $K_{2}$ VALUES
$K_{2}=$ absorbance ratio, $\lambda_{\text {max. }} / 220 \mathrm{~nm}$.

| Compound | $\lambda_{\text {max. }}{ }^{a}$ <br> $(n m)$ | $K_{2}$ | Compound | $\lambda_{\text {max. }}$ <br> $(n m)$ | $K_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Morphine | 288 | 0.35 | Colchicine | 243 | 2.25 |
| Cinchonine | 303 | 0.41 | Methyl salicylate | 312 | 2.40 |
| Codeine | 290 | 0.41 | Berberine | 275 | 2.87 |
| Cinchonidine | 303 | 0.48 | Caffeine | 272 | 3.01 |
| Heroin | 282 | 0.85 | Strychnine | 262 | 3.04 |
| Yohimbine | 282 | 0.86 | Quercetin | 270 | 3.10 |
| Thymol | 278 | 1.08 | Papaverine | 247 | 3.50 |
| Eugenol | 283 | 1.08 | Theophylline | 273 | 3.76 |
| Emetine | 287 | 1.16 | Theobromine | 277 | 3.94 |
| Quinine | 238 | 1.17 | Harmine | 325 | 4.45 |
| Psoralin | 335 | 1.55 | Caffeic acid | 327 | 4.60 |
| Quinidine | 238 | 1.58 | Anisaldehyde | 297 | 5.50 |
| Eserine | 315 | 1.60 | Chlorogenic acid | 325 | 6.31 |
| Harmaline | 263 | 1.61 | Pilocarpine ${ }^{b}$ | - | - |
| Brucine | 270 | 1.70 | $\alpha-$ Santonin ${ }^{b}$ | - | - |
| Vanillin | 330 | 2.15 |  |  |  |

[^1]Under controlled conditions, the UV absorption spectrum is characteristic for a specific compound, and hence the absorbance ratios derived from it also characterize the compound. These absorbance ratios can be used in conjunction with $R_{F}$ data to identify the compound (Table III).

It has also been found that the two absorbance ratios (i.e., monitoring at three wavelengths) gave adequate information to discriminate and identify the compounds examined, even those displaying very similar absorbance profiles, e.g., purine bases (caffeine, theophylline, theobromine), phenanthrene alkaloids (morphine, codeine) and quinoline alkaloids (quinine, quinidine, cinchonine, cinchonidine) (Tables 1-3).

For selective and final identification of a compound, a wavelength of maximum absorption should be used (Table II). Classification of the compounds tested into five groups according to the first absorbance ratio $K_{1}(254 / 220 \mathrm{~nm})$ (Table I), e.g., (A) $0-0.13$, (B) $0.30-0.49$, (C) 0.66-1.00, (D) $1.00-1.96$ and (E) 2.00 and above, will give the primary identification of groups. The second measurement at $\lambda_{\text {max. }}$ and calculation of the second ratio $K_{2}\left(\lambda_{\max .} / 220 \mathrm{~nm}\right)$ (Table II) will give the complete identification of the compound tested.

Another classification of the compounds into groups can be done according to the $K_{2}$ values by arranging them in increasing order. However, we prefer to start with $K_{1}$ as it represents a general and primary measurement at two fixed wavelengths (220 and 254 nm ) whereas $K_{2}$ involves different wavelengths according to the compound tested.

The composition of the solvent systems was varied to achieve optimum

TABLE III
DATA OBTAINED BY CALCULATION FROM DENSITOMETRIC MEASUREMENT AND CLASSIFIED ACCORDING TO DEVELOPING SOLVENT

| Compound | $K_{1}$ | $K_{2}$ | $A^{a}$ | $R_{\text {F }}$ | $B^{\text {b }}$ | M.W. | $C^{\text {c }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Group I: developing solvent ethyl acetate-methanol-water (100:13.5:10) ${ }^{12}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Caffeine | 0.99 | 3.01 | 2.98 | 0.46 | 15.43 | 194 | 89.2 |
| Theobromine | 1.32 | 3.96 | 5.23 | 0.36 | 6.88 | 180 | 64.8 |
| Theophylline | 1.35 | 3.76 | 5.07 | 0.53 | 10.45 | 180 | 95.4 |
| Colchinine | 1.95 | 2.25 | 4.38 | 0.29 | 6.60 | 399 | 115.7 |
| Group II: developing solvent toluene-ethyl acetate (93:7) ${ }^{12}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eugenol | 0.35 | 1.08 | 0.37 | 0.47 | 127 | 164 | 77.0 |
| Thymol | 0.66 | 1.08 | 0.71 | 0.52 | 73.2 | 150 | 78.0 |
| Anisaldehyde | 2.41 | 5.50 | 13.25 | 0.10 | 0.7 | 136 | 13.6 |
| Methyl salicylate | 0.99 | 2.40 | 2.37 | 0.82 | 34.6 | 152 | 124.6 |
| Vanillin | 1.16 | 2.15 | 2.49 | 0.25 | 10.0 | 152 | 38.0 |
| Psoralen | 1.85 | 1.55 | 2.86 | 0.30 | 10.5 | 186 | 55.8 |
| $\alpha$-Santonin | 4.94 | - | - | 0.10 | - | 246 | 24.6 |
| Group III: developing solvent chloroform-diethylamine (90:10) ${ }^{12}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quinine | 0.35 | 1.17 | 0.40 | 0.14 | 35.0 | 324 | 45.3 |
| Quinidine | 0.38 | 1.58 | 0.60 | 0.30 | 50.0 | 324 | 97.2 |
| Cinchonine | 0.11 | 0.41 | 0.04 | 0.38 | 950.0 | 294 | 111.7 |
| Cinchonidine | 0.13 | 0.48 | 0.06 | 0.24 | 400.0 | 294 | 70.5 |
| Group IV: developing solvent ethanol-acetic acid-water (60:30:10) ${ }^{13}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Morphine ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 0.49 | 0.35 | 0.17 | 0.27 | 158.8 | 303 | 81.81 |
| Codeine ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 0.37 | 0.41 | 0.15 | 0.40 | 266.6 | 317 | 126.80 |
| Heroin ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 0.71 | 0.85 | 0.60 | 0.35 | 58.3 | 423 | 253.80 |
| Group V: developing solvent methanol-ammonia solution (100:1.5) ${ }^{13}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Harmaline | 1.58 | 1.61 | 2.54 | 0.38 | 14.9 | 214 | 81.3 |
| Harmine | 3.67 | 4.45 | 16.33 | 0.68 | 4.2 | 212 | 144.1 |
| Group VI: developing solvent ethyl acetate-formic acid-acetic acid-water (100:11:11:27) ${ }^{12}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3hlorogenic acid | 1.96 | 6.30 | 12.35 | 0.45 | 3.6 | 354 | 159.3 |
| Caffeic acid | 1.60 | 4.60 | 7.36 | 0.96 | 13.0 | 180 | 172.8 |
| Quercetin | 1.78 | 3.10 | 5.51 | 0.97 | 17.0 | 302 | 292.9 |
| Group VII: developing solvent toluene-ethyl acetate-diethylamine (70:20:10) ${ }^{12}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pilocarpine | 0.028 | - | - | 0.10 | - | 208 | 20.8 |
| Brucine ${ }^{e}$ | 1.01 | 1.70 | 1.71 | 0.23 | 13.5 | 466 | 107.1 |
| Strychnine ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 2.93 | 3.04 | 8.90 | 0.37 | 4.2 | 306 | 150.2 |
| Yohimbine | 0.30 | 0.86 | 0.26 | 0.49 | 188.5 | 354 | 92.0 |
| Eserine | 3.30 | 1.60 | 5.28 | 0.56 | 10.6 | 275 | 154.0 |
| Emetine | 0.36 | 1.16 | 0.41 | 0.56 | 136.5 | 480 | 268.8 |
| Papaverine | 2.61 | 3.50 | 9.13 | 0.62 | 6.8 | 339 | 210.1 |
| Berberine | 1.81 | 2.87 | 5.19 | 0.46 | 8.9 | 336 | 154.5 |

[^2](a)


| $R_{1}=R_{2}=H$ | Morphine |
| :--- | :--- |
| $R_{1}=H, R_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | Codeine |
| $R_{1}=R_{2}=\mathrm{COCH}_{3}$ | Heroin |

(b)


$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{CH}_{3} & \text { Caffeine } \\
\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{H} & \text { Theophylline } \\
\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{CH}_{3} & \text { Theobromine }
\end{array}
$$



Fig. 1. Structures of compounds. (a) Phenanthrene alkaloids; (b) xanthine alkaloids; and (c) quinoline alkaloids.
chromatographic conditions. The compounds tested were detected and identified at a concentration of $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ in methanol or chloroform solutions.

We tried to correlate the results obtained with the structures, and reached some interesting conclusions.
(a) Within the same group of structurally related compounds, e.g., morphine, codeine and heroin ${ }^{a}$ (Fig. la), there is a difference in $K_{1}$ values. The presence of a methyl group in codeine reduces its $K_{1}$ value, whereas the diacetyl group in heroin increases its $K_{1}$ value. The $K_{2}$ and $C$ values increase in the order given.
(b) For caffeine, theobromine and theophylline (Fig. 1b), there is an increase in $K_{1}(0.99,1.32$ and 1.35 respectively), even though theophylline and theobromine have the same molecular mass. The $K_{2}$ values increased in the order caffeine, theophylline and theobromine ( $3.01,3.76$ and 3.96 , respectively). Also, the $B$ values increased in the order theobromine, theophylline and caffeine ( $6.88,10.45$ and 15.43 , respectively), and the $C$ values in the order theobromine, caffeine and theophylline ( $64.8,89.2$ and 95.4, respectively) (Table III).
(c) Within the quinoline alkaloids, which include two pairs of isomers, viz., $(-)$-quinine, $(+)$-quinidine and $(-)$-cinchonidine, $(+)$-cinchonine (Fig. 1c), the presence of the methoxy group in quinine and quinidine increases the $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ values. Whereas the $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ values give no sharp differentiation between the members of each pair, the $B$ and $C$ values (Table III) could be regarded as a guide for differentiating between the two pairs of isomers and also between the members of each pair with the same molecular mass.

To test the reliability of identification by this method, eight unknown samples were prepared by one of the investigators and analysed by another. The eight unknowns consisted of one compound from each of the seven classes (Table III) plus a compound that was not included in this study. An initial run for each compound placed them in a particular class. From the values of absorbance ratios $\left(K_{1}\right)$, the primary identification was rapidly achieved. Then, confirmation by measurement at the maximum wavelength completed the identification.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Present address: Criminal Laboratory, Ministry of Interior, Kuwait, Kuwait.
    ${ }^{b}$ Present address: Department of Phytochemistry, Islamic Centre for Medical Sciences, Ministry of Public Health, P.O. Box 5, Saffat 13001, Kuwait.

[^1]:    ${ }^{a} \lambda_{\text {max. }}$ was measured directly in situ with the TLC scanner.
    ${ }^{b}$ Compounds with no maxima other than 254 nm .

[^2]:    ${ }^{"} A=K_{1} K_{2}$.
    ${ }^{b} B=h R_{F} / A$.
    ${ }^{c} C=M W \times R_{F}$.
    ${ }^{d}$ Base monohydrate.
    ${ }^{e}$ Hydrochloride salt.

[^3]:    ${ }^{a}$ Although heroin is not a natural compound, it is included because of its structural relationship to the phenanthrene alkaloids and its legal implications.

